

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,581	09/04/2001	Erika C. Dabney	D/A1189	2510
7590 11/02/2005			EXAMINER	
Patent Documentation Center			QIN, YIXING	
Xerox Corpora	tion			
Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Clinton Ave. Rochester, NY 14644			2622	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/944,581	DABNEY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yixing Qin	2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mad d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	August 2005					
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	on of Claims	, , ,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 September 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice	mary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 · No(s)/Mail Date	_	all Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

In response to applicant's amendment received 8/23/05, all requested changes have been entered.

### Response to Arguments

The Examiner agrees with the main argument made that the number of copies is not received from a <u>software</u> application. However, the Examiner deemed that there is no support for this amendment and that the specification only discusses the changing of the multiple copies by an user (e.g. see page 4, lines 25-29 and page 5, lines 1-3 of the specification). Claims 1-15 and 21 all now recite this feature and are considered to be new matter.

In regards to claim 16-20, the Examiner has considered the amended claims and the argument that the reporting of the one copy is now non-obvious. The Examiner agrees that it would be non-obvious but the claim is indefinite in that it lacks essential steps as to why reporting that one copy will be printed when multiple copies have been specified. For example, if I specified 5 copies to be printed, and there was a report that one copy will be printed, I would have no clue why that is the case. Furthermore, the Examiner would like to point out that printing one copy of a multiple copy job is commonly known as test or proof printing, in which an user would simply print one copy of a multiple copy job to ensure proper printing of the job before printing the rest of the copies, which could significantly reduce wasted paper/ink that would have resulted from printing multiple copies of a job which all came out wrong.

Page 3

Also, the Shima reference does indeed suggest that the print driver assumes control of the printing. The Examiner will again cite column 7, lines 7-12 and especially lines 9-12 where the print driver uses gathered information to produce a control command. The fact that it produces a control command suggests that it can control the printing process.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As mentioned above in the response to the arguments, the specification has insufficient support for the fact that the number of copies is received from a software application.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the reason as to why a one copy would be reported when an user has specified multiple copy printing.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,581

Art Unit: 2622

#### Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yixing Qin whose telephone number is (571)272-7381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on (571)272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YQ

SECRETARY DOLES

SECRETARY PROPERTY STUDENTS THE COLOR ASSUMES THE